



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,332	02/09/2000	Charles Merriam	5437-055	3704

22835 7590 03/16/2004

PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP
508 SECOND STREET
SUITE 201
DAVIS, CA 95616

EXAMINER

HA, LEYNNA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2135

10

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/501,332

Applicant(s)

MERRIAM, CHARLES

Examiner

LEYNNA T. HA

Art Unit

2135

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-33 maintains the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).
2. Examiner's Response to argument. This is a FINAL rejection necessitated by new grounds.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boneh, Et Al. (US 6,134,660).

As per claim 1:

Boneh, Et Al. teaches a method for managing information retention system (col.5, lines 63-67) wherein includes a central file server 102 having a number of files of electronic information and encrypts the key file before the key file is transferred to the backup system (col.4, lines 17-21).

Boneh discloses the server system receiving a set of information and associating one or more keys with the set of information wherein encrypting the set of information using one or more keys (col.4, lines 53-55). Boneh discusses storing the set of information is encrypted in the repository in the form of a backup system (col.4, lines 50-53) wherein the backup system provides persistent storage for only encrypted information. Hence, the unencrypted set of information is not persistently stored in the backup system. In addition, Boneh discloses purging the set of information from the system thereby making the set of information inaccessible or unrenderable (col.4, line 65 thru col.5, line 12). Boneh purges the set of information systematically by deleting the key once the key's lifetime is expired or considered as old keys (col.5, lines 13-22).

As per claim 2:

Boneh teaches the set of information is purged from the system without requiring that the encrypted form of the set of information be deleted from the one or more repositories (col.5, lines 1-32).

As per claim 3:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 4:

Boneh includes the keys comprises a symmetrically paired set of keys (col.7, lines 45-62).

As per claim 5:

Boneh discloses receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, decrypting the encrypted set of information using a key to derive the set of information (col.7, lines 49-51) and enabling the information sink to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 6:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 7:

Boneh discloses receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, accessing one or more keys (col.4, lines 50-55), and providing the encrypted information and key(s) to enable the information sink to decrypt the encrypted set of information using the key(s) to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 8:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 9:

Boneh discloses determining the method of purging based upon an information retention policy (col.5, lines 25-31), whether the set of information should be purged from the system and should the information set determined to be purged wherein Boneh teaches purging the set of information by deleting the key(s) (col.6, lines 13-15). Thus, making the set of information unrenderable (col.6, lines 13-15).

As per claim 10:

Boneh discusses the information retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged after a certain period of time (col.5, lines 13-30).

As per claim 11:

Boneh discusses the retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged when one or more conditions are satisfied (col.6, lines 6-15).

As per claim 12:

Boneh teach an apparatus for managing information retention system (col.5, lines 63-67) wherein includes a central file server having a number of files of electronic information and encrypts the key file before the key file is transferred to the backup system (col.4, lines 17-21).

Boneh discusses the mechanisms wherein the server system receiving a set of information and associating one or more keys with the set of information wherein encrypting the set of information using one or more keys (col.4, lines

53-55). Boneh discusses storing the set of information is encrypted in the repository in the form of a backup system (col.4, lines 50-53) wherein the backup system provides persistent storage for only encrypted information. Hence, the unencrypted set of information is not persistently stored in the backup system. In addition, Boneh discloses purging the set of information from the system thereby making the set of information inaccessible or unrenderable (col.4, line 65 thru col.5, line 12). Boneh purges the set of information systematically by deleting the key once the key's lifetime is expired or considered as old keys (col.5, lines 13-22).

As per claim 13:

Boneh teaches the set of information is purged from the system without requiring that the encrypted form of the set of information be deleted from the one or more repositories (col.5, lines 1-32).

As per claim 14:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 15:

Boneh includes the keys comprises a symmetrically paired set of keys (col.7, lines 45-62).

As per claim 16:

Boneh discloses the mechanism of receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of

one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses the mechanisms for accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, decrypting the encrypted set of information using a key to derive the set of information (col.7, lines 49-51), and enabling the information sink to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 17:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 18:

Boneh discloses the mechanism for receiving a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and

a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further discusses the mechanisms of accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, accessing one or more keys (col.4, lines 50-55), and providing the encrypted information and key(s) to enable the information sink to decrypt the encrypted set of information using the key(s) to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 19:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 20:

Boneh discloses the mechanism for determining to purge based upon an information retention policy (col.5, lines 25-31), whether the set of information should be purged from the system and should the information set determined to be purged wherein Boneh teaches the mechanism for purging the set of information is by deleting the key(s) (col.6, lines 13-15). Thus, making the set of information unrenderable (col.6, lines 13-15).

As per claim 21:

Boneh discusses the information retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged after a certain period of time (col.5, lines 13-30).

As per claim 22:

Boneh discusses the retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged when one or more conditions are satisfied (col.6, lines 6-15).

As per claim 23:

Boneh teaches a computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions (col.5, lines 13-27) which when executed by one or more processors, causes the processor(s) to manage the information retention system. The managing information retention system includes wherein includes a central file server that has a system memory wherein the system memory includes a file system having a number of files of electronic information and a key file for storing encryption keys (col.4, lines 17-21).

Boneh includes instructions for causing the processor(s) to receive a set of information into the system (col.4, lines 27-29), and associating one or more keys with the set of information wherein encrypting the set of information using one or more keys (col.4, lines 53-55). Boneh discusses storing the set of information is encrypted in the repository in the form of a backup system (col.4, lines 50-53) wherein the backup system provides persistent storage for only encrypted information. Hence, the unencrypted set of information is not persistently stored in the backup system. In addition, Boneh discloses purging the set of information from the system thereby making the set of information inaccessible or unrenderable (col.4, line 65 thru col.5, line 12). Boneh purges

the set of information systematically by deleting the key once the key's lifetime is expired or considered as old keys (col.5, lines 13-22).

As per claim 24:

Boneh teaches the set of information is purged from the system without requiring that the encrypted form of the set of information be deleted from the one or more repositories (col.5, lines 1-32).

As per claim 25:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 26:

Boneh includes the keys comprises a symmetrically paired set of keys (col.7, lines 45-62).

As per claim 27:

Boneh discloses having instructions (col.5, lines 13-27) for causing the processor(s) to receive a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further includes instructions for causing the processor(s) to access the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories,

decrypting the encrypted set of information using a key to derive the set of information (col.7, lines 49-51), and enabling the information sink to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 28:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28).

As per claim 29:

Boneh discloses having instructions for causing the processor(s) to receive a request from an information sink to render the set of information to a user prior to deletion of one or more keys (col.6, lines 26-50). The Examiner ascertains an information sink is a device that receives information from another device. Boneh teaches an information sink involving a network server such as the Internet server and a computer system for communicating information over a network (col.7, lines 28-62).

Boneh further includes instructions for accessing the encrypted set of information from one or more of the repositories, accessing one or more keys (col.4, lines 50-55), and providing the encrypted information and key(s) to enable the information sink to decrypt the encrypted set of information using the key(s) to render the set of information to the user (col.7, lines 28-62).

As per claim 30:

Boneh discloses the set of information is stored in the one or more repositories only in encrypted form (col.4, lines 50-55) and the encrypted information set is decrypted by the information sink only when it is necessary to render the set of information to the user (col.8, lines 18-28). See also col.7, lines 45-62.

As per claim 31:

Boneh discloses determining the method of purging based upon an information retention policy (col.5, lines 25-31), whether the set of information should be purged from the system and should the information set determined to be purged wherein Boneh teaches purging the set of information by deleting the key(s) (col.6, lines 13-15). Thus, making the set of information unrenderable (col.6, lines 13-15).

As per claim 32:

Boneh discusses the information retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged after a certain period of time (col.5, lines 13-30).

As per claim 33:

Boneh discusses the retention policy is time-based such that the set of information is purged when one or more conditions are satisfied (col.6, lines 6-15).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The amended independent claims states the system associating the keys with the set of information and encrypting it, thereby storing only encrypted information to the repository. Boneh teaches and discloses a server system associating the keys with the set of information and encrypting it before storing the encrypted information and not the unencrypted form to the repository in the form of backup system. It is inherent the backup system only stores the encrypted information since the information needed to be encrypted before transferring the file to the backup system (col.4, lines 17-45). In conclusion, Boneh teaches the claim language of the amended claims 1, 12, and 23.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (703) 305-3853. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LHa



KIM VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100